

Security Committee

SECOM-A-246

5 May 1982

AGENDA

Two Hundred and Fifty-first Meeting
Wednesday, 19 May 1982, 10:00 a.m.
Room 4E64, Langley Headquarters Building

Preliminary Comments

ITEM 1 Approval of Minutes, SECOM-M-253

ITEM 2 Subcommittee and Working Group Reports

ITEM 3 Discussion of and decision on unresolved DCID 1/19 issues (vote sheet on issues sent to members under SECOM-D-152 dated 21 April 1982. Members who have not yet done so are requested to send their vote sheets to the SECOM Executive Secretary so as to be received no later than 12 May 1982).

ITEM 4 New Business

ITEM 5 Next Meeting - 10:00 a.m., 26 May 1982, same location as this meeting. Subject will be discussion of FY 1984 SECOM budget proposals. Material on these will be provided members in advance of the 26 May meeting.

OS REGISTRY

2-1174

CONFIDENTIAL

Brown

Approved For Release 2005/12/14 : CIA-RDP87B01034R000500180031-8

Security Committee

SECOM-M-253

22 April 1982

Minutes
Two Hundred and Fiftieth Meeting
Wednesday, 21 April 1982, 1000-1130 Hours
Room 4E64, Langley Headquarters Building

[REDACTED]
Chairman
Presiding

25X1

MEMBERS PRESENT

Mr. Maynard Anderson, Office of Secretary of Defense
Mr. Lloyd E. Dean, Federal Bureau of Investigation
Col. George J. Mercuro, Department of the Air Force
[REDACTED] National Security Agency
Mr. Jerry Rubino, Department of Justice
Mr. Dennis E. Southern, Department of Treasury
Mr. Richard Welch, Department of the Navy

ALTERNATES PRESENT

Mr. Robert C. Allen, Department of the Air Force
Mr. Edward J. Dansereau, Department of Treasury (Secret Service)
Mr. Arthur Davis, Office of Secretary of the Air Force, Space Systems
Mr. Frank Dill, Department of the Army
Mr. Merrill T. Kelly, Department of the Army
[REDACTED] Central Intelligence Agency
[REDACTED] Defense Intelligence Agency

ALSO PRESENT

[REDACTED] Central Intelligence Agency
Mr. Michael Cassetta, Department of Commerce
[REDACTED] Central Intelligence Agency
[REDACTED] National Security Agency
Mr. Roger I. Smith, Department of the Air Force
Mr. Donald Stigers, Department of State
[REDACTED] Central Intelligence Agency
Mr. Robert Wingfield, Department of Energy
[REDACTED] SECOM Staff

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2005/12/14 : CIA-RDP87B01034R000500180031-8

PRELIMINARY COMMENTS

The Chairman:

1. Noted that on 6 April he had sent members a concept paper on the FY 1984 SECOM budget. [] said we were expecting the Intelligence Community Staff budget for that year to be classified, thus permitting inclusion of operational items. He advised that specific guidance on FY 1984 budget requests would be provided soon, and he requested inputs by 12 May in order to meet an early June deadline. [] said SECOM members would need to meet to consider and rank budget requests and support them within their departments and agencies. (OU0) 25X1

[]

3. Reported that he had attended the 29 March Office of Personnel Management sponsored meeting to discuss their proposed 5-tier set of investigations to support determinations for employment suitability and security clearances. [] advised that only one of the 24 organizations represented at the meeting fully supported the OPM proposals. (U) 25X1

4. Noted that members had stated at the March SECOM meeting their wish that the Security Awareness Working Group remain a separate body and not be subsumed by the new Personnel Security Subcommittee. [] said no nominations had been received for chairman of this working group. He asked members if they would agree to tasking that group to elect their own chairman from among their own membership. SECOM members indicated their support of this approach. (U) 25X1

5. Reported that efforts were continuing to develop appropriate DCI implementation for NSDD-19. [] aid an attempt to re-do the 2 March draft DCI implementer to accommodate Community comments resulted in a least common denominator paper which had not been deemed useful. He noted that clarification of NSC Staff wishes on this implementer had recently been obtained, and that the NSC wanted a broad range of ideas from the DCI on how better to protect intelligence information. [] said the current approach was to continue ongoing DCI security initiatives to review and upgrade existing security policies and procedures (e.g., DCIDs 1/19 and 1/14), and to defer new initiatives until the White House acts on the report and recommendations concerning leaks that the Attorney General sent the President. (OU0) 25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SECOM-M-253

25X1 6. Advised that [] of the staff had been invited by the Association
of Federal Investigators (AFI) to give a general presentation on the Security
Committee to the June 1982 meeting of that group. Mr. [] noted that copies 25X1
of [] talk outline were at members' places. Mr. [] said AFI staff 25X1
people had learned about the SECOM survey of personnel security cases, and had
contacted him to seek information on what SECOM was and what it did. He noted
that he and [] had talked with AFI personnel and, with [] 25X1
permission, had arranged to make an unclassified presentation on SECOM to the
upcoming AFI meeting. (U)

ITEM 1 - Approval of Minutes

The minutes of the last meeting, held on 24 March 1982, were approved as
written in the absence of any requests for change. (U)

ITEM 2 - Subcommittee and Working Group Reports

25X1 A. Compartmentation Subcommittee - Col. Mercurio advised that the results
of their action on the draft revision of DCID 1/19 were reflected in his 15 April
memorandum to [] copies of which were at members' places. [] 25X1
told members that a vote sheet would be sent to them soon to obtain their views
on the six unresolved issues reported out by the subcommittee. He asked members
to review these issues carefully, and to state their positions based on considered
reflection of the broad interests of the Community. He also summarized comments
on the draft DCID provided by a group of senior security officers in industry,
and said these comments would be circulated with the vote sheet for member
consideration. Col. Mercurio then discussed these issues:

25X1 (1) Nondisclosure agreements (NdA's) - Col. Mercurio stated that
all agencies except CIA planned to secure new NdA's over time from
persons who already held SCI access. [] said CIA planned to 25X1
pick up Congressional staffers on a prospective basis using Form 4193.
[] advised that he would review the CIA position. Members then
discussed various forms of NdA's used or to be used by Community agencies.
Discussion focused on potential problems arising from use of varying forms,
with particular reference to contractors. One scenario discussed was how
to deal with the Community aspects of SCI access standing of a contractor
employee who signed an NdA for one agency but refused to sign a different
NdA for another, causing the second agency to debrief him for cause.

(2) PROXIMITY access - Mr. Anderson suggested that the best way
to address this issue would be to use the policy language Admiral Inman
had sent the Community.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SECOM-D-253

(3) SCI accountability - Col. Mercuro noted that DoD had to adapt its accountability procedures to resource constraints, and said he believed the subcommittee recommendation was a reasonable compromise between good security and what resources would permit. [] expressed his concern that too short a period of retention of accountability records would result in recipients of SCI documents being unable to determine with a reasonable degree of effort whether they held particular documents of current interest.

25X1

(4) Legislative Branch access to SCI - Col. Mercuro said the NSA Office of General Counsel was strongly opposed to including procedures on this subject in DCID 1/19. [] said the objection was to the proposed new policy on this subject, stated in sections 37-40 of the draft DCID.

25X1

(5) Terminology for references to senior officials - Col. Mercuro said all agencies except NSA agreed to use both Senior Officials of the Intelligence Community and Senior Intelligence Officers to distinguish between different degrees of authority.

Col. Mercuro closed the discussion by thanking subcommittee members for their diligent work on the draft directive. (OUO)

B. Computer Security Subcommittee [] reported that the Computer Security Subcommittee had met on 20 April to address efforts to revise DCID 1/16. [] said the DCID itself would be a one-page policy statement; the "how to" detail would be in an accompanying regulation; the scope would cover both SCI and collateral intelligence; a present point of contention was how to address network security; the CIA member was drafting a strawman draft DCI for presentation to the subcommittee on 18 May; and SECOM should be able to expect a draft for its consideration by late June or early July. (OUO)

25X1

C. Personnel Security Subcommittee [] reported that they had held their first meeting on 13 April and had unanimously approved their charter. He noted that the next SCI adjudicators seminar would be held 3-7 May, and that strong Community interest in it had caused them to stretch the number of attendees to 24, the maximum possible. [] said they also discussed without resolution a strawman paper suggesting that investigative standards be set for the Community to govern access to collateral intelligence as well as SCI. (OUO)

25X1

[]

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CONFIDENTIAL

E. Unauthorized Disclosures Investigations Subcommittee [] 25X1
reported that at their 20 April meeting they had discussed the Willard report on leaks which the Attorney General has sent to the President; proposals for prioritizing leaks; a possible SECOM coordinator to facilitate the handling of leak matters. Proposals regarding use of technical means in the interest of making it easier to try to trace the sources of leaks were also discussed. (OUO)

ITEM 3 - Revision of SECOM Charter

25X1 [] said that he would like to postpone discussion of this since not all members had provided their comments on the draft revision of DCID 1/11. He noted that the security classification of the draft was in accordance with policy that all new DCIDs were to be classified. (OUO)

ITEM 4 - Old Business

25X1 [] noted that at the January 1982 meeting, DIA had asked for SECOM action to waive DCID 1/7 provisions to permit "No Contract" material sent to DIA to be released by them to the Institute for Defense Analyses (IDA). He advised that CIA had raised an objection to this, but had agreed to reconsider based on additional information about IDA's status. [] invited members' 25X1 attention to a 14 April memorandum to him from Mr. Anderson stating that IDA has the same relationship with Defense as do the "Government-owned, contractor-operated laboratories" with the Department of Energy. Since the footnote to attachment 1 to DCID 1/7 states that such laboratories are "not considered contractors for the purposes of this policy statement, [] withdrew the 25X1 CIA objection, and [] advised that, with members' consent, the Community could treat IDA as such a laboratory for purposes of dissemination of "No Contract" material. Members agreed to this without dissent. (OUO)

ITEM 5 - New Business

STAT 1. [] referred to the suggestion (in SECOM-D-130, dated 9 April 1982) that SECOM members adopt NSA's manual 90-5 on TEMPEST security for use by SCI contractors, and advised that DIA already had in use its own manual on this subject. He said DIA was arranging a meeting with NSA personnel to try to develop a joint manual for Community use. The results of this effort will be reported to the members. (OUO)

2. Mr. Dean inquired about the status of the 4-C system. [] 25X1 advised that there had been some slippage (to about September 1982) in consequence of need to await space renovations for the system, and noted that the additional time was being used to good effect by improving the draft 4C users manual. (OUO)

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2005/12/14 : CIA-RDP87B01034R000500180031-8

3. Mr. Anderson said the DoD Select Panel on Personnel Security had completed its work and submitted its report. He summarized key recommendations, which included higher standards for personnel security investigations; broader use of the polygraph on counterintelligence issues; new requirements for periodic investigative updates; and improvements in the overall Federal personnel security system. (OUO)

ITEM 6 - Next Meeting

25X1 [redacted] scheduled two meetings. One on 19 May to consider the draft revision of DCID 1/19; and another on 26 May to discuss FY 1984 budget proposals to be supported by SECOM. (OUO)



25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2005/12/14 : CIA-RDP87B01034R000500180031-8

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

251st Meeting, DCI Security Committee, 19 May 1982

FR

C/Policy Branch/PPG
4E-70, Hdqs.

GWW

EXTENSION

NO.

ATE

17 MAY 1982

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

C/PPG

5/17

5/17

GWW

2.

DD/P&M

MAY 17
1982

5/17

D

3.

DD/SEC

MAY 17
1982

5/17/82

Jm

4.

D/SEC

18 MAY
198219 MAY
1982

K

5.

6.

7.

8.

C/Policy Br/PPG

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

For your information, attached is a copy of the Agenda for the 251st Meeting of SECOM to be held Wednesday, 19 May at 1000 hours in Room 4E-64, Hdqs. The approval of the Minutes of the 250th Meeting (attached) is the first item of business. Your attention is called to Item 3 of the Agenda. You are holding the basic papers on the vote sheet for DCID 1/19 issues (attached). The ExSec/SECOM informally advises that the vote sheet tally to date indicates relative consensus on Items 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6, as indicated in Chairman, Compartmentation Subcommittee memorandum of 15 April 1982 on the Draft Revision of DCID 1/19. On Issue 4, Basic SCI Accountability Requirements, CIA has acquired support from SAFSS, Energy and the FBI. The Air Force is leaning toward the CIA position of life of the document plus six months by suggesting keeping records for at least two years.